

Conservation Planning and Policies for California Grasslands

Christopher Bersbach, Jesse Fujikawa, Patrick Jantz, Joe Kuhn, Bernhard Preusser, Dr. Frank Davis



Donald Bren School of Environmental Science and Management, University of California, Santa Barbara

Why Grasslands?

approximately 11% of California, or 11 million acres. The vast majority of privately owned (see below). Despite the large area covered by this ecosystem, lly overlooked by conservation policies and environmental advocates, with only grassland area in reserve status.

neavily altered ecosystem. Most of the grasslands in California are now native annual grasses rather than the native perennial grasses that were ea in the past. Despite this, grasslands provide habitat for many species red species such as the San Joaquin kit fox and Kaweah brodiaea.

esigned to examine the current policies that are affecting grassland elate these findings as a chapter in an upcoming University of California Press cology and Management of California Grasslands.

Methods

Analyzed existing GIS data to determine current distribution, wnership and management of grasslands.

Reviewed county general plans, policy documents and federal and

Contacted county planners by phone and e-mail to discuss findings

incorporated reviewers' feedback on initial draft.

	Percent of Total	
nd Area (acres)	Grasslands in California	
9,462,200	88.08%	
759,427	7.07%	
227,305	2.12%	
131,517	1.22%	
162,819	1.52%	

f California grasslands based on GIS analysis

	Grassland Area (acres)	Percent		
	7,831,093	53.25%		
	2,991,640	20.34%		
al	1,888,981	12.84%		
	1,316,524	8.95%		
	14 477 475	4.0007		



Examples of California Grassland Habitats





ames, Mark Stromberg, Oran Young, Gail Osherenko, Jeff Onsted, Carla D'Antonio, Jeffrey Corbi

What Policies are Important for Grasslands In California?

Grassland protection originates from several levels of government. Federal, state and local governments all have unique ways of approaching grassland conservation. Examples of these measures are included in the table below.

- Federal statutes (ESA, CWA) focus on distinctive biological features such as endangered species or vernal pool (seasonal wetland) habitats.
- •CESA complements the Federal ESA by protecting species that are not covered by the ESA
- ·CEQA requires mitigation for impacts to sensitive, threatened, and endangered species and communities. As such, it provides protection for certain native
- ·California's Williamson Act provides tax incentives to land owners to encourage farm land conservation thereby protecting grassland, classified as rangeland, from development.
- ·County level policies such as general plans and zoning ordinances often function by restricting land uses, e.g. limiting housing densities.
- Private incentives such as easements can be used to protect grassland habitats. These incentives tend to work as tax incentives, which are provided in exchange for an agreement to limit land uses. Easements are often in perpetuity, unlike the Williamson Act.

	Mechanism	Features
Federal	Endangered Species Act (ESA)	Prohibits take or destruction of critical habitat for federally listed grassland associated species.
Яe	Cle an Water Act (CWA)	C an protect vernal pool habitat, if under federal jurisidiction.
State	California Endangered Species Act (CESA)	Prohibits take or destruction of critical habitat for state listed grassland associated species.
	C alifornia Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)	Evaluates environmental effects of projects and sequires mézigation for signific ant impacts.
	Williams on Act	Pre-wents development on agricultural land for at least 10 years.
County	General Plan	Recognition of the biological importance of grasslands in general plans can set the stage for increased conservation.
	Agricultural zoning	Pre vents housing development and some agricultural uses are compatible with grassland habitat.
	Open space zoning	Provides weak controls on development, though a small amount of this land is strongly protected (conservation easements, etc.).

How Effective Are These Policies?

- •The protection of endangered grassland species with large area requirements, like the San Joaquin kit fox and Stephens' Kangaroo Rat, results in large areas of grassland conserved in the HCP/NCCP process. One HCP for the Stephens' Kangaroo Rat in Riverside County covers 540,000 acres, which includes significant amounts of grassland.
- ·A survey of publicly available Williamson Act data for eight counties showed that Williamson Act contracts covered between 32% and 99% of county grasslands. These grasslands are protected from development for at least 10 years, but are subject to agricultural uses that may be incompatible with use by some species.
- •CEQA, while providing protection for native grassland communities, currently provides few protections for non-native grasslands. It is difficult to quantify the
- ·Grassland habitats are rarely recognized for their biological value at the county level. More often they are valued as agricultural and grazing land and are
- ·Zoning designations, including agriculture, open space and low density residential, cover about 95% of grasslands. These designations can prevent development of grassland habitat, but like the Williamson Act may include land uses incompatible with grassland species requirements."



Purple Needlegrass, a

Chapter Citation

Ecology and Management of California Grasslands: Chapter 16 Conservation Planning and Policies for California Grasslands Patrick A. Jantz, Bernhard F. L. Preusser, Jesse K. Fujikawa, Joseph A. Kuhn, Christopher J. Bersbach, Jon Gelbard, and Frank W. Davis

What Does This Mean for Grassland Conse



Carrizo Plain, San Luis Obispo County, CA

- ·Counties rarely provide special protection associated species; most grassland protec levels of government. This makes Federal Endangered Species Act and the California the most directed and long term protections
- Compared to protections for ecosystems a wetlands, oak woodlands, and prime farmle little special protection. This can lead to prograssland habitat, but can also push develo
- ·County zoning ordinances can prevent de regulating land use. While it does provide s remembered that zoning ordinances do no ecological integrity of grasslands.
- ·As California grows, threatened and endar will likely determine much of the future exte grassland protection.



Information Needs and Issues

- Improve accessibility and/or availability of general plan
- Better access to Williamson Act data would help in determining its significance for grassland conservation
- ·Studies are needed on the effects of different types of protection and management on the ecological condition
- More information on different levels of policy enforcement would help in further evaluation of grassland conservation status.

Future Direction Grassland Cons

- ·Explore the potential for significance thresholds t protection for native gra
- Expansion and improve programs which provide

Some Grassland Associated Threatened and Endangere

San Joaquin Kit Fox



