A Cost-Benefit Analysis of Adaptations to Climate Change

Comparing ecosystem-based adaptation versus engineered approaches to tropical storm variability

Sarah Clark, Teo Grossman, Nick Przyuski, Cassidee Shinn, Danielle Storz | Advised by Naomi Tague

INTRODUCTION

The global climate is changing rapidly due to the accumulation of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions in the atmosphere.

According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), "These changes in atmospheric composition [greenhouse gases and aerosols] are likely to alter temperatures, precipitation patterns, sea level, extreme events, and other aspects of climate on which the natural environment and human systems depend" (2007).

Planned adaptation is society's *a priori* defense against risks caused by climate variability, whether those risks are to agricultural crops, flood plain communities, coastline integrity or any other number of resources. This project addresses planned adaptation to climate-driven threats to coastal communities in the South Pacific islands.

PROJECT SIGNIFICANCE

One potential impact of climate change is the increased risk of coastal flooding due to sea level rise and changes in tropical storm frequency and intensity. In the South Pacific islands, high coastal population density and low-lying coastlines coupled with their location in the cyclone belt increase their vulnerability.

Conservation and development organizations are seeking a way to aid communities in their planned adaptation efforts while trying to conserve ecosystems.

These organizations are interested in the use of Ecosystem-based Adaptation (EbA), which is defined as reducing the impacts of climate change through the conservation and restoration of natural ecosystems.

Our project provides a framework for comparing the effectiveness and costs of an EbA approach and an engineering-based approach of adaptation to the increased risk of coastal flooding.

METHODOLOGY

Project Objectives:

1. *Develop a model of the physical impacts and the associated economic damages of a storm surge on the coast of Fiji under a range of climate scenarios.*
2. *Incorporate adaptation approaches (seawalls and mangroves) into the model and project economic damages avoided with the presence of each.*
3. *Conduct a Cost-Benefit Analysis comparing adaptation measures to determine the Net Present Value of each.*



CASE STUDY

We used the construction of a seawall as our engineered adaptation approach to storm surge inundation. Seawalls protected 51% of the 19 km of coastline surveyed on the Suva Peninsula in 1996.

The nation of Fiji has the third most extensive mangrove forest cover of all the South Pacific islands. One of the largest mangrove areas is on the southeast coast of Viti Levu and serves as our model of an EbA option.

We focused our analysis on the island of Viti Levu, Fiji and answered the question:

What is the most economically efficient form of adaptation for climate driven threats to coastal communities of the Suva Peninsula?

RESULTS

After averaging across uncertainty in climate scenarios, adaptation effectiveness, and flood impact costs (among other variables) our model finds that the mean Net Present Value (NPV) over 40 years for constructing seawalls is generally higher than it is for conserving or restoring mangrove systems. Within the range of uncertainty, there are scenarios in which mangroves outperform seawalls. In these scenarios, the mangrove forests would need to be mature and provide high surge reduction capacities.

A key project question is the value of ecosystem service co-benefits (timber, fisheries, tourism, etc.). In this particular case, coastal protection is the most valuable ecosystem service that mangroves provide and the other co-benefits do not contribute significantly to the final economic analysis.

These results are locally specific as co-benefit values vary by location and system

It is important to note the difference between the physical and economic components of disaster modeling. The large disparity between the costs of seawall construction (high) and mangrove conservation/restoration (low) has a significant impact on the final NPV. The availability of investment and maintenance capital for these projects is an important consideration. While a high quality seawall may provide the greatest protection value, the costs for such a project may be out of reach for poorer communities.

One of the key advantages to the ecosystem approach is the relative affordability and overall flexibility given a range of possible futures. The tradeoffs become difficult from a policy perspective.



This chart displays the Net Present Value of restoring a mangrove forest, conserving a mangrove forest and building a seawall. The spread in the plot is due to the model uncertainties, which are listed on each plot. The red line represents the mean value.

RESULTS *continued*



Four potential functions describe the relationship between surge height and economic damages incurred in an area. These functions are specific to Fiji and vary in shape and slope to encompass the uncertainty in the relationship between storm surge height and storm damage. The true relationship is currently unclear.



An illustration of how potential economic damages from a range of surges will vary depending on the assumed damage function for a given location. The spread of each box plot encompasses the model uncertainties in tide, storm intensity, climate change scenario and sea level rise



The effectiveness of mangroves' ability to reduce incoming storm surge varies as a function of water depth, wave period, the species of mangrove trees, the density of mangrove forests and the diameter of mangrove roots and trunks (Mazda et al 1997).

These box plots show the average Net Present Value of conserved mangrove stands of a low, medium and high quality forest. This highlights the importance of the quality of the mangrove for its surge reduction capacity. It should be noted that the effectiveness of seawalls also varies by design, including height, maintenance regimes and construction materials.



This chart demonstrates how mangroves and seawalls reduce incoming surge compared to when there is no adaptation. Uncertainty in climate change estimation (variability across the IPCC scenarios) has little impact on our estimates of the effectiveness of different adaptation options.



This chart describes the investment vs. benefit of the projects under analysis. The location of the different projects on the chart may make a difference from the perspective of a decision maker trying to choose the best course of action with a given risk appetite and level of resources to spend.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We would like to thank:

Naomi Tague, Christopher Costello & James Frew for guidance through the theoretical and technical components of our project.

Dave Hole, Lee Hannah & Terry Hill for project support.

Gary Libecap, Jenny Dugan & Bret Foster for research assistance.

Dr. Peter Kouwenhoven for providing relevant data.

Sources referenced:

Pachauri, R.K., and A. Reisinger, 2007. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland. pp 104.

Mazda, Y., Magi, M., Kogo, M., Hong, P.N., (1997). Mangroves as a coastal protection from waves in the Tong King delta, Vietnam. Mangroves and Salt Marshes 1: 127-135.

Project website:

http://fiesta.bren.ucsb.edu/~adaptation/

Contact:

adaptation@lists.bren.ucsb.edu

Poster Design: Avery Smith Design

BREN SCHOOL OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & MANAGEMENT
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SANTA BARBARA